

Higher Categories Learning Seminar

15th & 22nd of January, 2025

Carl-Fredrik Lidgren, following D.-C. Cisinski

These notes cover the contents of Section 4.3 in [Cis19], on the topic of final objects.

Goal. The section, largely speaking, aims to do the following:

- (1) Give a characterization of final objects in the ∞ -categorical setting.
- (2) Show that final objects in ∞ -categories admit a characterization in terms of 2-categorical data.

The contents have been divided into smaller pieces for the sake of legibility. Throughout, there have been occasional attempts to parallel results with similar ones for 1-categories.

Contents

0 Recollections	2
0.1 Final maps	2
0.2 Mapping spaces	3
1 Final objects	4
1.1 The definition of final objects	4
1.2 The pointed join & the Yoneda lemma	4
1.3 Characterizing final objects ∞ -categorically	7
1.4 Characterizing final objects 2-categorically	8
1.5 An odd end which is only relevant much later	11
References	13

0 Recollections

We begin by recalling some notions from previous sections in [Cis19], so we may reference them later. Furthermore, there is some intuition which may be valuable to have and which is not provided in *loc cit.*

0.1 Final maps

Definition 0.1. A map $u : A \rightarrow B$ of simplicial sets is *final* if for any morphism $p : B \rightarrow C$, the induced map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ p \circ u \searrow & & \swarrow p \\ & C & \end{array} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{sSet}/C$$

is a weak equivalence of the contravariant model structure over C .

This definition warrants some explaining. The contravariant model structure over C provides for us the homotopy theory of presheaves of spaces on C ; in particular, by taking fibrant replacements, we can consider the triangle above as being a comparison between right fibrations. In this way, it is clear that one is making some statement about presheaves.

On the other hand, in the context of 1-categories, the category of presheaves on a category C can be thought of as the formal cocompletion of C , where a presheaf represents the formal colimit over the diagram given by its category of elements. Classically, a functor $F : I \rightarrow J$ between 1-categories is *final* (or *cofinal*, depending on the author) if for any J -diagram $D : J \rightarrow C$, the induced comparison map

$$\varinjlim(D \circ F) \rightarrow \varinjlim(D)$$

is an isomorphism.

Combining the above, we may think of Definition 0.1 in the following way: the map $(A, pu) \rightarrow (B, p)$ is analogous to the comparison map between colimits above, and u being final means it should be an equivalence for any p .

We summarize below the major properties we need about final maps.

Corollary 0.2. [Cis19, Cor. 4.1.9] *The following statements hold.*

- (1) *A monomorphism of simplicial sets is final if and only if it is right anodyne.*
- (2) *A morphism of simplicial sets is final if and only if it factors into a right anodyne extension followed by a trivial fibration.*

Furthermore, the class of final maps is exactly the smallest class C of morphisms in \mathbf{sSet} satisfying the following properties.

- (a) *C is closed under composition.*
- (b) *For any pair of morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$, if f and $g \circ f$ are in C then so is g .*
- (c) *C contains all right anodyne extensions.*

Remark 0.3. We note that by [Cis19, Prop. 4.1.11], an equivalence $u : A \rightarrow B$ of ∞ -groupoids is automatically final. Indeed, the cited proposition says it suffices to check the definition of being final on a single right fibration $B \rightarrow X$, for which we may choose $X = \Delta^0$.

0.2 Mapping spaces

Definition 0.4. Consider two simplicial sets $(p_F : F \rightarrow X)$, $(p_G : G \rightarrow X) \in \mathbf{sSet}/X$ over X . We define the *mapping space* $\mathrm{Map}_X(F, G) = \mathrm{Map}_X(p_F, p_G)$ by the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_X(F, G) & \hookrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(F, G) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow (p_G)_* \\ \Delta^0 & \xrightarrow{p_F} & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(F, X) \end{array}$$

where we note that an object in $\mathrm{Map}_X(F, G)$ thus corresponds to a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & G \\ & \searrow p_F & \swarrow p_G \\ & X & \end{array}$$

Remark 0.5. Observe that if $F = \Delta^0$ and $p_F = x : \Delta^0 \rightarrow X$, then $\mathrm{Map}_X(\{x\}, G)$ is given by the fiber

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_G^{-1}(x) & \longrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow p_G \\ \Delta^0 & \xrightarrow{x} & X. \end{array}$$

Cisinski writes $G_x := p_G^{-1}(x)$.

Below, we give a summary of the basic properties we need about these mapping spaces.

Proposition 0.6. Consider $F \rightarrow X$ and $F' \rightarrow X \in \mathbf{sSet}/X$, and let $f : F \rightarrow F'$ be a morphism over X . Suppose $G \rightarrow X$ is a right fibration. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) [Cis19, 4.1.12] The simplicial set $\mathrm{Map}_X(F, G)$ is a Kan complex.
- (2) [Cis19, Prop. 4.1.13] If f is a monomorphism, then $f_* : \mathrm{Map}_X(F', G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_X(F, G)$ is a Kan fibration.
- (3) [Cis19, Prop. 4.1.14] If f is a weak equivalence of the contravariant model structure over X , then $f_* : \mathrm{Map}_X(F', G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_X(F, G)$ is an equivalence of ∞ -groupoids.

We will also need the following fact about the contravariant model structure over X .

Theorem 0.7. [Cis19, Thm. 4.1.16] Let $F \rightarrow X$ and $G \rightarrow X$ be right fibrations, and let $f : F \rightarrow G$ be a morphism over X . The following are equivalent.

- (1) f is a weak equivalence for the contravariant model structure over X .
- (2) f is a fibrewise equivalence.
- (3) For any $Y \rightarrow X$, the induced map

$$f_* : \mathrm{Map}_X(Y, F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_X(Y, G)$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -groupoids.

1 Final objects

1.1 The definition of final objects

In the context of 1-categories, an object $c \in C$ is final if it is a colimit for the identity functor $\mathbb{1}_C : C \rightarrow C$. More generally, c is final if and only if the colimit of any functor $D : C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is given by $D(c)$, which is to say: the inclusion $\{c\} \hookrightarrow C$ is final.

Definition 1.1. Let $X \in \mathbf{sSet}$. An object $x \in X$ is *final* if $\Delta^0 \xrightarrow{x} X$ is final (see Definition 0.1).

Remark 1.2. [Cis19, Rmk. 4.3.2] Note that x is final if and only if $\Delta^0 \xrightarrow{x} X$ is right anodyne. Indeed, it is a monomorphism, so by Corollary 0.2, being final is equivalent to being right anodyne.

Proposition 1.3. [Cis19, Prop. 4.3.3] Consider a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of simplicial sets, where $x \in X$ is final. Then f is final if and only if $f(x) \in Y$ is final.

Proof. We use properties (a) and (b) from Corollary 0.2, and look at the composition

$$\Delta^0 \xrightarrow{x} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

representing $f(x)$. If f is final, then (a) yields that $f(x)$ is final. Conversely, if $f(x)$ is final, then f is final by (b). ■

1.2 The pointed join & the Yoneda lemma

We have a monoidal structure on \mathbf{sSet} provided by the join $- * - : \mathbf{sSet} \times \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$, which we recall preserves connected colimits in each variable. However, we will be interested in *pointed* simplicial sets \mathbf{sSet}_* , and given a pointed simplicial set (X, x) and simplicial set S , there is no natural way to turn $X * S$ into a pointed simplicial set. To remedy this, we introduce the pointed join $X *_x S$.

Definition 1.4. Let $(X, x) \in \mathbf{sSet}_*$, and let $S \in \mathbf{sSet}$. We define the simplicial set $X *_x S$ by the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^0 * S & \longrightarrow & \Delta^0 \\ x * 1_S \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow x' \\ X * S & \dashrightarrow & X *_x S. \end{array}$$

We regard $X *_x S$ as pointed by the object x' . This determines a functor $\mathbf{sSet}_* \times \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}_*$.

Remark 1.5. The pointed join operation is associative in the following sense: given $X \in \mathbf{sSet}_*$ and $S, S' \in \mathbf{sSet}$, the associativity isomorphisms for $- * -$ induce a canonical isomorphism

$$(X *_x S) *_x S' \cong X *_x (S * S').$$

To see this, look at the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Delta^0 * S * S' & \longrightarrow & \Delta^0 * S' & \longrightarrow & \Delta^0 \\ \downarrow x * 1_S * 1_{S'} & \lrcorner & \downarrow x' * 1_{S'} & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ X * S * S' & \longrightarrow & (X *_x S) * S' & \longrightarrow & (X *_x S) *_x S' \end{array}$$

where we note that the left square is a pushout since $- * S'$ preserves connected colimits, and the right square is a pushout by definition. It follows that the outer square is a pushout.

Definition 1.6. We define the functor $C : \mathbf{sSet}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}_*$ by $C : (X, x) \mapsto X *_x \Delta^0$.

Remark 1.7. Given a pointed simplicial set (X, x) , the pointed simplicial set $(C(X, x), x')$ can be thought of one obtained by forcing x to be final in X . We will see how to motivate this rigorously shortly, but on a heuristic level, what happens is the following: first, we form the join $X * \{x'\}$, wherein x' is now a final object. Next, in forming $C(X, x)$, we identify x with x' . This has the effect that any two morphisms $f, g : y \rightarrow x$ are necessarily identified with the unique morphism $y \rightarrow x'$, hence making x final.

Lemma 1.8. [Cis19, Lemma 4.3.5] *Let $(X, x) \in \mathbf{sSet}_*$, and consider the pointed simplicial set $(C(X, x), x')$. Then x' is a final object in $C(X, x)$.*

Proof. An object is final if and only if its representing map is right anodyne; furthermore, $\Delta^0 \xrightarrow{x'} C(X, x)$ is the pushout of

$$x * 1_{\Delta^0} : \Delta^0 * \Delta^0 = \Delta^1 \rightarrow X * \Delta^0.$$

It therefore suffices to show that $x * 1_{\Delta^0}$ is right anodyne, so we need to show it has the left lifting property with respect to right fibrations. By virtue of [Cis19, Prop. 4.1.2], an inner fibration $p : A \rightarrow B$ is a right fibration if and only if for any object $a \in A$, the induced map $A/a \rightarrow B/p(a)$ is a trivial fibration. Thus, we make use of the correspondence of lifting problems

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^0 * \Delta^0 & \longrightarrow & A \\ x * 1_{\Delta^0} \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ X * \Delta^0 & \longrightarrow & B \end{array} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^0 & \longrightarrow & A/a \\ x \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & B/p(a) \end{array}$$

provided by the adjunction transposition between joins and slices. Here, a is the codomain of arrow in A given by $\Delta^0 * \Delta^0 = \Delta^1 \rightarrow A$. As the right-most vertical arrow is a trivial fibration, the lift exists when the arrow to its left is a monomorphism, which it is. ■

Proposition 1.9. *We have an adjunction*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xleftarrow{C} & \\ \mathbf{sSet}_* & \perp & \mathbf{sSet}_* \\ & \xrightarrow{(Y, y) \mapsto (Y/y, 1_y)} & \end{array}$$

That is, there is a natural bijection between pointed maps $(C(X, x), x') \rightarrow (Y, y)$ and pointed maps $(X, x) \rightarrow (Y/y, 1_y)$.

Proof sketch. In the simplicial set $X * \Delta^0$, let us denote the adjoined object by ∞ . A pointed map

$$(C(X, x), x') = (X *_x \Delta^0, x') \rightarrow (Y, y)$$

is naturally the same thing as a map

$$X * \Delta^0 \rightarrow Y \quad \text{such that} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty) \mapsto 1_y.$$

This, in turn is the naturally same thing as a map

$$X \rightarrow Y/y \quad \text{such that} \quad x \mapsto 1_y.$$

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 1.10. [Cis19, Prop. 4.3.7] *Let $X \in \mathbf{sSet}$, and consider an object $x \in X$. If the canonical map $X/x \rightarrow X$ has a section $s : X \rightarrow X/x$ such that $s(x) = 1_x$, then x is final. If X is an ∞ -category, then the converse also holds.*

Proof sketch. Note that s defines a pointed map $(X, x) \rightarrow (X/x, 1_x)$. By the previous proposition, we can transpose s to a map $r : (C(X, x), x') \rightarrow (X, x)$. As s is a pointed section of $X/x \rightarrow X$, r will be a pointed retraction^a of the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow C(X, x)$. This implies that $x : \Delta^0 \rightarrow X$ is a retract of $x' : \Delta^0 \rightarrow C(X, x)$. Since these are monomorphisms, being final is equivalent to being right anodyne, so we conclude by noting that right anodyne extensions are closed under retracts.

For the final statement: if X is an ∞ -category, then $X/x \rightarrow X$ is a right fibration, then since $x : \Delta^0 \rightarrow X$ is right anodyne (being final) the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^0 & \xrightarrow{1_x} & X/x \\ x \downarrow & \nearrow \text{---} & \downarrow \\ X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \end{array}$$

admits a solution. □

^aWhy?

Corollary 1.11. [Cis19, Cor. 4.3.8] *Let $X \in \mathbf{sSet}$, and consider an object $x \in X$. Then 1_x is final in X/x .*

Proof. The object Δ^0 is a monoid object in the monoidal category $(\mathbf{sSet}, *)$, with unit $\emptyset \rightarrow \Delta^0$ and multiplication the unique map $\Delta^0 * \Delta^0 = \Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^0$. We also observed that the pointed join $X *_x S$ defines an associative action, and one easily sees it is furthermore unital (by considering $S = \emptyset$).

Combining the above two facts, one observes that $C = (- *_x \Delta^0)$ inherits the structure of a monoid object in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{sSet}_*, \mathbf{sSet}_*)$; that is, it is a monad. By abstract nonsense, a right adjoint of a monad is a comonad (with the structure maps induced by transposing the ones for the left adjoint using the calculus of mates).

Let us write V for the right adjoint, given by $(Y, y) \mapsto (Y/y, 1_y)$. Then the unit $\mathbb{1} \Rightarrow C$ transposes to the counit map $\varepsilon : V \Rightarrow \mathbb{1}$, which is given simply by the canonical pointed map $X/x \rightarrow X$. On the other hand the multiplication $C^2 \Rightarrow C$ transposes to some inscrutable comultiplication map $\delta : V \Rightarrow V^2$, which satisfies counitality:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^2 & \xrightarrow{V\varepsilon} & V \\ \delta \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ V & & \end{array}$$

which tells us that the comultiplication provides a section of $V\varepsilon$. In other words, we have a pointed section of the pointed map

$$(X/x)/1_x \rightarrow X/x$$

so Proposition 1.10 tells us that x is final. ■

The above facts let us prove a version of the Yoneda lemma. Recall that the right fibration $X/x \rightarrow X$ is supposed to correspond to the representable functor $X(-, x)$. For a 1-category C , a special case of the Yoneda lemma is the statement that for $c, c' \in C$, one has a natural

bijection

$$\widehat{C}(h_c, h_{c'}) \cong C(c, c')$$

where $\widehat{C} = \text{Fun}(C, \text{Set})$.

Theorem 1.12. [Cis19, Thm. 4.3.9] *Let X be an ∞ -category, $x \in X$ some object. Then $(\Delta^0 \xrightarrow{x} X) \in \text{sSet}/X$ has a canonical fibrant replacement in the contravariant model structure over X , given by $X/x \rightarrow X$.*

In particular, if $y \in X$ is another object, then there is a canonical equivalence of groupoids

$$\text{Map}_X(X/x, X/y) \rightarrow X(x, y).$$

Proof. We have seen that $1_x: \Delta^0 \rightarrow X/x$ defines a final object, and therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^0 & \xrightarrow{1_x} & X/x \\ & \searrow x & \swarrow \\ & X & \end{array}$$

defines a weak equivalence in the contravariant model structure over X . Since $X/x \rightarrow X$ is a right fibration, X/x is fibrant and we have proven the first statement.

For the second, we apply the properties listed in Proposition 0.6. In particular, since $\Delta^0 \xrightarrow{1_x} X/x$ is a monomorphism,

$$\text{Map}_X(\{x\}, X/y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_X(X/x, X/y)$$

is a Kan fibration by (2). By the weak equivalence worked out above, (3) implies this map is an equivalence of ∞ -groupoids, hence a trivial fibration. Now, by Remark 0.5, $\text{Map}_X(\{x\}, X/y)$ is given by the fiber of $X/y \rightarrow X$ over x , which by [Cis19, Cor. 4.2.10] is canonically equivalent as an ∞ -groupoid to $X(x, y)$. ■

1.3 Characterizing final objects ∞ -categorically

We now prove the following characterization of final objects in an ∞ -category, originally due to Joyal.

Theorem 1.13. [Cis19, Thm. 4.3.11] *Let X be an ∞ -category, and an object $\omega \in X$. Denote by $\pi: X/\omega \rightarrow X$ the canonical map. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) ω is a final object in X .
- (2) For any object $x \in X$, the ∞ -groupoid $X(x, \omega)$ is contractible.
- (3) $\pi: X/\omega \rightarrow X$ is a trivial fibration.
- (4) $\pi: X/\omega \rightarrow X$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.
- (5) $\pi: X/\omega \rightarrow X$ has a section sending ω to 1_ω .
- (6) Any morphism $u: \partial\Delta^n \rightarrow X$ for which $n > 0$ and $u(n) = \omega$ arises as the restriction of a morphism $\Delta^n \rightarrow X$.

Proof. We begin with some easy equivalences.

- (1) \Leftrightarrow (5). This is Proposition 1.10.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4). Since π is a fibration between fibrant objects of the Joyal model structure, it is a trivial fibration if and only if it is a weak categorical equivalence, i.e. an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (6). This follows by applying the identity

$$\partial\Delta^n = (\Delta^{n-1} * \emptyset) \cup (\partial\Delta^{n-1} * \Delta^0)$$

and the standard lifting problem transposition between joins and slices.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3). Since π is a fibration between fibrant objects of the contravariant model structure over X , it is a trivial fibration if and only if it is a weak equivalence for this model structure. Applying the second statement in Theorem 0.7, as well as our Yoneda lemma (or by reapplying [Cis19, Cor. 4.2.10]), this is equivalent to $X(x, \omega) \rightarrow \Delta^0$ being an equivalence of ∞ -groupoids, i.e. $X(x, \omega)$ is contractible.

For the final part of the proof, we use that (1) \Leftrightarrow (5), and split into two parts, proving equivalence with (3) in one direction using (1) and in the other using (5).

(3) \Rightarrow (1). A trivial fibration is automatically final (see Corollary 0.2), so the composition

$$\Delta^0 \xrightarrow{1_\omega} X/\omega \xrightarrow{\pi} X,$$

namely $\omega: \Delta^0 \rightarrow X$, is final by closure under composition and Corollary 1.11.

(5) \Rightarrow (3). We are given a section $s: X \rightarrow X/\omega$ which sends a final object ω to a final object 1_ω , which by Proposition 1.3 implies that s is final. Since s is monic and final, it is a right anodyne extension by Corollary 0.2. Now, this implies π is a weak equivalence of the contravariant model structure over X (use that all right anodyne extensions are weak equivalences by [Cis19, Prop. 2.4.25], and then apply the 2-out-of-3 property). Hence, π is a trivial fibration. ■

1.4 Characterizing final objects 2-categorically

Theorem 1.13 lets us immediately come to some corollaries with remarkable implications. We begin with some fun and easy ones.

Corollary 1.14. [Cis19, Cor. 4.3.12] *Let X be an ∞ -category, $\omega \in X$ an object. Then*

$$\omega \text{ is final in } X \implies \omega \text{ is final in } \text{ho}(X).$$

Proof. By [Cis19, Prop. 3.7.2], we have

$$\pi_0 X(x, \omega) \cong \text{ho}(X)(x, \omega).$$

Applying (2) in Theorem 1.13, we are done. ■

Corollary 1.15. [Cis19, Cor. 4.3.13] *The final objects in an ∞ -category X form an ∞ -groupoid which is either empty or contractible.*

Proof. Let $K \subseteq X$ be the full subcategory of final objects. One may interpret (2) in Theorem 1.13 as saying that the unique map $K \rightarrow \Delta^0$ is fully faithful. Unless K is empty, it is also essentially surjective, hence an equivalence. ■

Remark 1.16. Both of the above results seem like they should be a simple consequences, but with the definitions used here, one sees that they are certainly non-trivial (given all the work that has lead up to them). In other approaches, e.g. the one in [Lur09], they are significantly easier to prove (as one essentially takes Theorem 1.13(2) as the definition). On the other

hand, the approach of [Cis19] has the benefit of making certain other arguments much more formal.

Corollary 1.17. [Cis19, Cor. 4.3.14] *Let ω be final in an ∞ -category X . For any simplicial set A , the constant functor $c_\omega: A \rightarrow X$ with value ω is a final object of $\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X)$.*

Proof. For this one, we need to import a result about the compatibility between $\underline{\text{Hom}}$ and slices, specifically [Cis19, Prop. 4.2.12], which says that

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X/\omega) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X)/c_\omega$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -categories. Now, by (4) in Theorem 1.13, we thus have equivalences

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X/\omega) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X)/c_\omega$$

which also shows that c_ω is final for the same reason. ■

The really interesting consequence is the following theorem, characterizing final objects entirely at the level of some homotopy categories.

Lemma 1.18. *Let X be an ∞ -category, and consider two objects $x, y \in X$. Then, for any $A \in \mathbf{sSet}$, we have a canonical equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X(x, y)) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X)(c_x, c_y).$$

Proof. The functor $\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, -)$ has the following properties:

- (a) It commutes with limits, since it is right adjoint to \times .
- (b) It preserves Joyal fibrations by [Cis19, Cor. 3.6.4].
- (c) It preserves equivalences of ∞ -categories by [Cis19, Thm. 3.6.9] and trivial fibrations by [Cis19, Cor. 3.1.7].

Therefore, it preserves homotopy pullbacks of isofibrations between ∞ -categories. As a consequence, the homotopy pullback (see [Cis19, Cor. 2.3.28]) below left

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(x, y) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^0 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner \text{ho} & \downarrow_x \\ X/y & \longrightarrow & X \end{array} \quad \sim \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X(x, y)) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^0 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner \text{ho} & \downarrow_{c_x} \\ \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X/y) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X) \end{array}$$

gives rise to the homotopy pullback above right. Owing to the fact that we have a canonical weak equivalence $\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X/y) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X)/c_y$, this shows that

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X(x, y)) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X)(c_x, c_y)$$

as desired. ■

Theorem 1.19. [Cis19, Thm. 4.3.16] *Let X be an ∞ -category, and $\omega \in X$ an object. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) ω is a final object in X .
- (2) For any $A \in \mathbf{sSet}$, the constant functor c_ω is a final object in $\text{ho}(\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X))$.
- (3) For any $A \cong N(E)$ where E is a finite partially ordered set, the constant functor c_ω is a final

object in $\text{ho}(\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X))$.

Above, N denotes the nerve functor.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). We know by the above corollaries that c_ω is final in $\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X)$ and hence final in the homotopy category.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). This is trivial, as (3) is immediately a special case of (2).

(3) \Rightarrow (1). We assume that $A = N(E)$ and that c_ω is final in $\text{ho}(\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X))$. Applying Lemma 1.18, we have that

$$\{*\} = \text{ho}(\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X))(c_x, c_\omega) \cong \pi_0(\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X)(c_x, c_\omega)) \cong \pi_0(\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, X(x, \omega)))$$

so every map $A \rightarrow X(x, \omega)$ is homotopic to a constant map. Therefore, applying the below Lemma 1.20, we see that $X(x, \omega)$ is contractible for all $x \in X$, so ω is final. ■

Lemma 1.20. [Cis19, Lemma 4.3.15] *Let $X \in \mathbf{sSet}$ and assume that for any finite poset E , any map $N(E) \rightarrow X$ is Δ^1 -homotopic to a constant map. Then $X \rightarrow \Delta^0$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. The proof relies on [Cis19, Prop. 3.8.10], which says that for a pointed Kan complex (Y, y) , there is a canonical bijection $\pi_0(\underline{\text{Hom}}_*(\partial\Delta^{n+1}, Y)) \cong \pi_n(Y, y)$, where one points $\partial\Delta^{n+1}$ however one pleases. The idea is to apply this to the nice fibrant replacement $Y = \text{Ex}^\infty(X)$ of X . The essential claim is as follows:

(★) Any map $\partial\Delta^n \rightarrow \text{Ex}^\infty(X)$ is Δ^1 -homotopic to a constant map.

To see that this is true, note that as $\partial\Delta^n$ has only finitely many non-degenerate simplices, it is compact as an object of \mathbf{sSet} . Therefore, a map as above factors as

$$\partial\Delta^n \rightarrow \text{Ex}^i(X) \rightarrow \text{Ex}^\infty(X), \quad \text{for some } i > 0.$$

By adjunction, we obtain a map $\text{Sd}^i(\partial\Delta^n) \rightarrow X$; applying [Cis19, Lemmas 3.1.25 & 3.1.26], $\text{Sd}^i(\partial\Delta^n)$ is the nerve of a finite poset, so this map is Δ^1 -homotopic to a constant map. One deduces that $\partial\Delta^n \rightarrow \text{Ex}^i(X)$ is Δ^1 -homotopic to a constant map too, which proves the claim.

Now, choosing $n = 0$, we see that X is non-empty. Choosing $n = 1$, we see that it is connected, i.e. $\pi_0(X) = \{*\}$. From this, $\pi_n(X, x)$ does not depend on x , and applying the general case of (★) we see that $\pi_n(X, x)$ is trivial for all n . Therefore, the map $\text{Ex}^\infty(X) \rightarrow \Delta^0$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, from which we conclude that $X \rightarrow \Delta^0$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. ■

Remark 1.21. We take this moment to advertise the approach towards ∞ -categories given by Emily Riehl & Dominic Verity in [RV22].

One may form an $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathfrak{QC}at$ whose objects are ∞ -categories and whose mapping ∞ -categories are given by $\underline{\text{Hom}}(-, -)$. This is an example of an ∞ -cosmos in the sense of [RV22]. One may further form the *homotopy 2-category* $\mathfrak{hQC}at$ of $\mathfrak{QC}at$ by letting the mapping categories be given by the homotopy categories $\text{ho}(\underline{\text{Hom}}(-, -))$.

The thesis of [RV22] is that much of the basic theory of ∞ -categories can be developed essentially synthetically in the context of an ∞ -cosmos \mathfrak{R} , and particularly, that many concepts depend only on the homotopy 2-category \mathfrak{hR} . The above theorem is an example of this concept: it tells us that final objects in ∞ -categories are detected on the level of mapping categories in $\mathfrak{hQC}at$.

Cisinski proves a more general version of this later in Chapter 6 of [Cis19]; in particular, he proves that adjoints depend only on 2-categorical data in the homotopy 2-category $\mathfrak{hQC}at$. This generalizes the case of final objects, as an object $\Delta^0 \rightarrow X$ of an ∞ -category is a final object if and only if it is right adjoint to the unique map $X \rightarrow \Delta^0$.

One may develop a great deal of basic ∞ -category theory in terms of the interplay between an ∞ -cosmos \mathfrak{K} and its homotopy 2-category $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{K}$, and this is done systematically in [RV22]. Indeed, at once towards the beginning of the book, they define adjoints in terms of the existence of unit and counit natural transformations satisfying the triangle identities in $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{K}$, and use this to define such things as initial/terminal objects. One can similarly define what it means for an ∞ -category to admit all (co)limits of a given shape, though some more care is required when one is only concerned with the existence of the (co)limit of a particular diagram.

While the theory of ∞ -categories in ∞ -cosmoi presents a number of technical issues, it allows a model independent *mostly* synthetic approach to proofs; furthermore, this setting occasionally allows for the category theory in ∞ -category theory to shine through somewhat more clearly, as many proofs end up being very similar to those for 1-categories. The downside is that one must additionally show that what one has proven agrees with the standard notions in one's preferred model, and that many *explicit* constructions become impossible; for example, an ∞ -cosmos may not even have an object representing a category of ∞ -groupoids, which leads to formulations of the Yoneda lemma becoming somewhat more tricky. In part, these issues are inevitable, as there are more "exotic" ∞ -cosmoi which one should not think of as modeling $(\infty, 1)$ -categories, but rather $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical aspects of e.g. (∞, n) -categories.

1.5 An odd end which is only relevant much later

From doing a search through [Cis19], the following proposition is only used twice; in one of those times, it is merely a suggestion. Therefore, we have deferred it to this subsection at the end.

Proposition 1.22. [Cis19, Prop. 4.3.10] *Let X be an ∞ -category, and ω an object in X . Suppose there is a natural transformation $a : 1_X \Rightarrow c_\omega$ from the identity of X to the constant functor with value ω , and suppose that component arrow $a_\omega : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ is homotopy equivalent to the identity, i.e. $[a_\omega] = [1_\omega]$ in $\text{ho}(X)$. Then ω is final.*

Proof. By definition, the natural transformation determines a homotopy

$$h : \Delta^1 \times X \rightarrow X.$$

The fundamental claim is the following:

- (★) We may replace h by a homotopy $h' : \Delta^1 \times X \rightarrow X$ such that h' restricted to $\Delta^1 \times \{\omega\}$ is the identity 1_ω .

By the assumption that $[a_\omega] = [1_\omega]$, we have a commutative triangle $\mu : \Delta^2 \rightarrow X$ of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \omega & \\ 1_\omega \nearrow & \Downarrow \mu & \searrow a_\omega \\ \omega & \xrightarrow{1_\omega} & \omega \end{array}$$

and so we may consider the map

$$\tilde{h} : \Lambda_1^2 \times X \rightarrow X \quad \text{glued from} \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^{\{0,1\}} \times X \rightarrow X \text{ coming from } \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{1_X} \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, X), \text{ and} \\ \Delta^{\{1,2\}} \times X \xrightarrow{h} X. \end{cases}$$

In other words, the map $\Lambda_1^2 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, X)$ described by the chain of natural transformations

$$1_X \xrightarrow{1} 1_X \xrightarrow{a} c_\omega.$$

In totality, the above data provides us with the following lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta^2 \times \{\omega\} \cup \Lambda_1^2 \times X & \xrightarrow{(\mu, \tilde{h})} & X \\
\downarrow & \dashrightarrow k & \downarrow \\
\Delta^2 \times X & \longrightarrow & \Delta^0
\end{array}$$

which admits a solution since the left map is inner anodyne and X is an ∞ -category. Setting $h' = k|_{\Delta\{0,2\} \times X}$ yields the desired homotopy, proving (\star) .

Transposing h' , we get a natural transformation $a' : \Delta^1 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, X)$ whose component at ω is 1_ω . Transposing the opposite way, we have a functor $H' : X \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\Delta^1, X)$ such that $H'(\omega) = 1_\omega$. In fact, since $a' : 1_X \Rightarrow c_\omega$, the functor H' factors through

$$H' : X \rightarrow X//\omega \subseteq \underline{\text{Hom}}(\Delta^1, X)$$

and defines a pointed section of the canonical projection $X//\omega \rightarrow X$. The canonical equivalence

$$X/\omega \rightarrow X//\omega$$

of [Cis19, Prop. 4.2.9] sends the final object of X/ω to 1_ω , and as equivalences of ∞ -groupoids are final (see Remark 0.3), this means 1_ω is final in $X//\omega$. Finally, we have the retraction

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Delta^0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Delta^0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Delta^0 \\
\omega \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_\omega & & \downarrow \omega \\
X & \xrightarrow{H'} & X//\omega & \longrightarrow & X
\end{array}$$

where the middle arrow is right anodyne (since it is a monic and final map), hence ω is final. \blacksquare

References

- [Cis19] Denis-Charles Cisinski. *Higher Categories and Homotopical Algebra*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2019. ISBN: 978-1-108-47320-0.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [RV22] Emily Riehl and Dominic Verity. *Elements of ∞ -Category Theory*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2022. ISBN: 978-1-108-83798-9. DOI: 10.1017/9781108936880.